## Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: Whiting Oil and Gas Corporation Well Name/Number:Watts 41-21-1H
Location: SE NE Section 21 T24N R59E
County: Richland, MT; Field (or Wildcat) W/C (Bakken Horizontal)
Air Quality
(possible concerns)
Long drilling time: No, 30 to 40 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, triple derrick drilling rig to drill a single
lateral Bakken Formation well, 20,185'MD/10,398'TVD.
Possible H2S gas production: Yes possible H2S gas, slight chance from Mississippian
Formations.
In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area, in the area of review.
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ permit required if
productive. If existing pipeline for H2S gas in the area, gas can be gathered or if no
gathering system nearby, sweet gas or H2S gas can be flared under Board Rule
<u>36.22.1220.</u>
Mitigation:
Air quality permit (AQB review)
Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: No special concerns – using triple derrick drilling rig to drill a single
lateral Bakken Formation well, 20,185'MD/10,398'TVD.
Water Quality
(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud: Yes, oil based invert mud system will be used for drilling the
mainhole and saltwater for drilling the horizontal lateral. Freshwater and freshwater mud
system will be used for drilling the surface hole.
High water table: No, no high water table anticipated.
Surface drainage leads to live water: Yes, nearest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral
tributary drainage to Second Hay Creek, adjacent to the southeast corner of this location. Within this ephemeral drainage there are stock ponds.
Water well contamination: None, closest water wells are about ¾ of a mile to the west
and about 5/8 of a mile to the east southeast from this location. Depth of these water
wells range from 35' to 80'. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater
mud to 2000'. Steel surface casing will be run and cemented from 2000'.
Porous/permeable soils: No, variable sandy clay soils.
Class I stream drainage: No Class I stream drainages in the area of review.
Mitigation:
X Lined reserve pit
X Adequate surface casing
Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
Closed mud system
Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)

\_X Other: \_Semi-closed loop mud system, utilizing a lined cuttings pit for burial of drill cuttings on the wellsite.

Comments: <u>2000' of surface casing cemented to surface adequate</u> to protect freshwater zones and will cover the base of the Fox Hills Formation.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use
(possible concerns)
Steam crossings: No, stream crossings anticipated
High erosion potential: No, moderate cut, up to 11.6' and moderate fill, up to 10.6',
required
Loss of soil productivity: None, location to be restored after drilling well, if well is
nonproductive. If productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.
Unusually large wellsite: No, a very large location, 510'X425'. This size location is
required to accommodate four (4) wells, watts 42-21-1H, Watts 42-21-2H, Watts 42-21-
3H and Watts 42-21-4H
Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is a cultivated land.
Conflict with existing land use/values:Slight
Mitigation
Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
Exception location requested
<ul><li>X Stockpile topsoil</li><li>Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)</li></ul>
Stream crossing Fermit (other agency review) _X_Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
Other
Comments: _Access to location will be over existing county road, #132 and existing
lease road. A short access of 22' will be built off the existing lease road into this
location. Oil based invert drilling fluids will be recycled. Completion fluids will be hauled
to North Dakota to be used on other wells or hauled to a Class II Disposal. Drilling
cuttings and mud solids will be fly ashed in the lined cuttings pit. No special concerns.
Health Hazards/Noise
(possible concerns)
Proximity to public facilities/residences: Residence, about ½ of a mile to the south
southwest from this location. Out buildings about 1/4 of a mile to the southeast from
this location.
Possibility of H2S: Slight possibility of H2S.
Size of rig/length of drilling time: <u>Triple drilling rig/short 30 to 40 days drilling time</u>
Mitigation:
X_Proper BOP equipment
Topographic sound barriers
<ul><li>H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan</li><li>Special equipment/procedures requirements</li></ul>
Other:
Comments: Adequate surface casing and operational BOP equipment should
mitigate any problems. No concerns

## Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)  Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.
Proximity to recreation sites:None identified
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No
Conflict with game range/refuge management: No
Threatened or endangered Species: Species identified as threatened or endangered
are the Pallid Sturgeon, Interior Lease Tern, Whooping Crane and Piping Plover.
Candidate species are the Greater Sage Grouse and the Sprague's Pipit. MTFWP
Natural Heritage Tracker website indicates one (1) species of concern is Whooping
Crane. NH Tracker website lists three (3) Potential Species of Concern: Chimney Swift,
Eastern-Screech Owl and the Tennessee Warbler.
Mitigation:
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
Other:
Comments: Private surface lands. There maybe species of concern that maybe
impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to
what he would like done, if a species of concern is discovered at this location. The
Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
(possible concerns)
Proximity to known sites None identified.
Mitigation
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
<ul><li>avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)</li><li>other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)</li></ul>
<ul><li>avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)</li><li>other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)</li><li>Other:</li></ul>
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private surface lands. There maybe possible
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.  Social/Economic
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.  Social/Economic (possible concerns)
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.  Social/Economic  (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.  Social/Economic (possible concerns)
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.  Social/Economic  (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private surface lands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.  Social/Economic  (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services Population increase or relocation

## Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

To drill wildcat single lateral Bakken Formation well, 20,185'MD/10,398'TVD.

## **Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects**

No significant long term impacts expected, some short term impacts will occur.

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u> ) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u> ) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
Prepared by (BOGC): <u>/s/Steven Sasaki</u> (title:) <u>Chief Field Inspector</u> Date: <u>April 21, 2012</u>
Other Persons Contacted:
Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website  (Name and Agency)  Water wells in Richland County
(subject discussed) April 21, 2012 (date)
US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website (Name and Agency) ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County (subject discussed)
<u>April 21, 2012</u> (date)
Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP) (Name and Agency) Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T25N R58E (subject discussed)
<u>April 21, 2012</u> (date)
If location was inspected before permit approval: Inspection date: Inspector: Others present during inspection: